

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

**ELECTRONIC** 

01/14/2008

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,624	07/30/2003	Naoki Shutoh	241072US2SRD	9134
	7590 01/14/200 AK MCCLELLAND 1	EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			PHASGE, ARUN S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	-	Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/629,624		SHUTOH ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Arun S. Phas	sae	1795			
	TE of this communication app						
Period for Reply							
WHICHEVER IS LONG  Extensions of time may be ava after SIX (6) MONTHS from the If NO period for reply is specification.  Failure to reply within the set of the set o	UTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ER, FROM THE MAILING DA idlable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 e mailing date of this communication. ed above, the maximum statutory period varies extended period for reply will, by statute the later than three months after the mailing the See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS  136(a). In no event,  will apply and will e  2. cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tim  xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133):			
Status	ı						
1) Responsive to co	mmunication(s) filed on 29 O	October 2007.	•	·			
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FIN</b>	·						
·							
closed in accorda	ance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quay	∕le, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above (5) ☐ Claim(s) is (6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-4, 17</u> is (7) ☐ Claim(s) is	s/are rejected.	e withdrawn f					
Application Papers							
•	is objected to by the Examine			-			
,	ed on is/are: a) acc						
	request that any objection to the ing sheet(s) including the correct			e 37 CFK 1.00(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
•	ration is objected to by the Ex			•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119			•			
12) Acknowledgment a) All b) Som 1. Certified co 2. Certified co 3. Copies of t application	is made of a claim for foreign	ts have been ts have been ority documen nu (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicat ts have been receive 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)		_	N □ 1-4 : ···· 2	(DTO 442)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Pa</li> </ol>	(PTO-892) atent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		I) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
3) Information Disclosure State Paper No(s)/Mail Date		i)  Notice of Informal F i) Other:	Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/629,624

Art Unit: 1795

### DETAILED ACTION

#### Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the reply filed on 10/23/06. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sportouch in view of Shen of record for reasons of record.

Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sportouch in view of Shen as applied to claims above, and further in view of Hohl of record for reasons of record.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sportouch in view of Shen as applied to claims above, and further in view of Bell of record for reasons of record.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/29/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that the sintered body of Shen would have a large amount of oxygen, whereas, the present invention would have a small amount of oxygen included in the sintered body.

The present claims do not recite a range of this small amount of oxygen, indeed the structural limitation merely recite a sintered body. Indeed, a product defined in a product-by-process claim is a product, not a process. In re Bridgeford, 149 U.S.P.Q. 55 C.C.P.A. (1966). It is the patentability of the product claimed and NOT of the recited process steps which must be established. In re Brown, 173 U.S.P.Q. 685 C.C.P.A. (1972); In re Wertheim, 191 U.S.P.Q. 90 C.C.P.A. (1976).

A claim with the limitations to the amount of oxygen obtained by the process recited in claim 1, with a declaration or other showing demonstrating the difference in the products obtained by the different process steps would render the present rejection untenable.

With regard to the combination of Sportouch in view of Shen and further in view of Hohl, applicants argue that the Hohl reference fails to cure the

deficiencies of the Shen and Sportouch references. As shown above, the Sportouch and Shen references render the present claims obvious.

With regard to the combination of Sportouch in view of Shen and further in view of Bell, applicants argue that the Bell reference fails to cure the deficiencies of the Shen and Sportouch references. As shown above, the Sportouch and Shen references render the present claims obvious.

Accordingly, the claims stand rejected.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun S. Phasge whose telephone number is (571) 272-1345. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY, 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Arun'S. Phasge Primary Examiner Art Unit 1795 Page 5